



Frederick P. Fish  
1855-1930

W.K. Richardson  
1859-1951

07-10-00  
**FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.**

July 6, 2000

Attorney Docket No.: 10559/227001/P8792

**Box Patent Application**  
Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

4350 La Jolla Village Drive  
Suite 500  
San Diego, California  
92122

Telephone  
858 678-5070

Facsimile  
858 678-5099

Web Site  
www.fr.com



BOSTON

DALLAS

DELAWARE

NEW YORK

SAN DIEGO

SILICON VALLEY

TWIN CITIES

WASHINGTON, DC

Presented for filing is a new original patent application of:

Applicant: JAMES W. EDWARDS, YLIAN SAINT-HILAIRE AND JOHN W.  
RICHARDSON

Title: CONNECTIVITY IN THE PRESENCE OF BARRIERS

Enclosed are the following papers, including those required to receive a filing date  
under 37 CFR 1.53(b):

	<u>Pages</u>
Specification	18
Claims	5
Abstract	1
Declaration	[To be Filed at a Later Date]
Drawing(s)	7

Enclosures:

- New disclosure information, including:  
Information disclosure statement, 1 page.  
PTO-1449, 1 page.  
References, 3 items.
- Postcard.

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL**

Express Mail Label No. EL558600435US

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the  
United States Postal Service as Express Mail Post Office to Addressee  
with sufficient postage on the date indicated below and is addressed to  
the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D C. 20231.

Date of Deposit July 6, 2000

Signature *Derek W. Norwood*

Derek W. Norwood  
Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

Commissioner for Patents

July 6, 2000

Page 2

Basic filing fee	\$0
Total claims in excess of 20 times \$18	\$0
Independent claims in excess of 3 times \$78	\$0
Fee for multiple dependent claims	\$0
Total filing fee:	\$0

No filing fee is being paid at this time. Please apply any other required fees, **EXCEPT FOR THE FILING FEE**, to deposit account 06-1050, referencing the attorney document number shown above. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is attached.


If this application is found to be incomplete, or if a telephone conference would otherwise be helpful, please call the undersigned at (858) 678-5070.

Kindly acknowledge receipt of this application by returning the enclosed postcard.

Please send all correspondence to:

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
Customer Number: 20985  
4350 La Jolla Village Drive  
Suite 500  
San Diego, California 92122

Respectfully submitted,

  
Scott C. Harris  
Reg. No. 32,030  
Enclosures

30021257.doc

009040-fee-969

APPLICATION  
FOR  
UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT

TITLE: CONNECTIVITY IN THE PRESENCE OF BARRIERS  
APPLICANT: JAMES W. EDWARDS, YLIAN SAINT-HILAIRE AND  
JOHN W. RICHARDSON


CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL

Express Mail Label No. EL558600435US

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail Post Office to Addressee with sufficient postage on the date indicated below and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Date of Deposit July 6, 2000

Signature



Derek W. Norwood  
Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate

005020-1227560

CONNECTIVITY IN THE PRESENCE OF BARRIERS

BACKGROUND

The invention relates to connectivity in the presence of barriers.

5           Connectivity between the public Internet and corporate or private home networks can be limited by the presence of firewalls or consumer gateways designed to provide protection of valuable resources on the network.

Corporations, for example, typically permit limited  
10   incoming access to servers on their internal networks through firewalls, such as an electronic mail (email) gateway or public web site. Outgoing access through firewalls is typically permitted for a few standard protocols such as HyperText Transfer Protocol (HTTP) and  
15   File Transfer Protocol (FTP) through a protocol-specific proxy. For example, corporate users can web browse on the Internet through an HTTP proxy. Applications based on alternative protocols, such as buddy lists or Internet Protocol (IP) telephony, may not be able to be employed  
20   because of the lack of a suitable firewall proxy. Some applications take advantage of ubiquitously deployed proxies (e.g., an HTTP proxy) by using them to tunnel application data through a firewall. However, application-specific development must be provided to support tunneling.

25           Private home networks face similar connectivity issues. The industry is converging on a centralized access model for sharing Internet access among the personal computers (PCs)

and devices in such networks. Centralized access is expected to be provided by consumer gateways based on Network Address Translation (NAT) which allows specific endpoints on the private home network to share outbound  
5 access to the Internet, but provides no general mechanism for inbound connections to a specific device on the network. A gateway can be configured manually to map incoming access at a specific network port to a single machine on the private home network. Alternatively, proxies can be  
10 installed on a gateway to handle access for a specific application or protocol in a much more flexible way than manually configured port mapping. However, because gateways can be implemented on a variety of operating system platforms, it may be difficult for application developers to  
15 provide proxies for each available system.

#### DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 illustrates an Internet Protocol (IP) forwarder/relay service supporting a forwarding session.

FIG. 2 illustrates an IP forwarder/relay service  
20 supporting a relay session.

FIG. 3 shows software components associated with endpoints using the IP forwarder/relay service.

FIG. 4 is a flow chart of a process for establishing connectivity between source and destination endpoints using  
25 the forwarder/relay service.

FIG. 5 illustrates hierarchical static mapping that can be used to assign a server to handle a session between an endpoint and the IP forwarder/relay service.

FIG. 6 illustrates dynamic mapping that can be used to assign a server to handle a session between an endpoint and the IP forwarder/relay service.

FIG. 7 illustrates additional details of exemplary forwarding mode and relay mode firewall traversing sessions.

FIG. 8 illustrates a direct session between endpoints.

10

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

As shown in FIG. 1, a client source endpoint 5, such as a workstation on a corporate network or a private home network, or a computer connected to an Internet Service Provider (ISP), is configured with client software 8 associated with an Internet Protocol (IP) forwarder/relay service 15 described below.

The source endpoint 5 is coupled to a firewall 10 which limits inbound and outbound access to and from the source endpoint 5. The firewall 10 is coupled to a communication medium 12 such as a wide area network or the Internet. The source endpoint 5 establishes communications through the firewall 10 and the communication medium 12 to the IP forwarder/relay service 15. The service 15 can be implemented, for example, as a cluster of servers or a geographically dispersed set of servers. The number of

servers can be increased as needed to partition the load of many clients.

FIG. 1 depicts a forwarding session in which the IP forwarder/relay service 15 connects through a communication medium 17 to a destination endpoint 20. The communication medium 17 can be any public network. The destination endpoint 20 can be any server or workstation that has connectivity with the communication medium 17.

In a forwarding session, data can be forwarded back and forth between the source endpoint and destination endpoint applications. The source endpoint 5 establishes a session using client software 8 to the service 15. The service 15 can forward data to other endpoints, such as the destination endpoint 20, that are not cognizant of the IP forwarder/relay service. In forwarding mode, the service 15 and the destination endpoint 20 use transport level communications (e.g., a TCP/IP connection) to transfer information between them.

FIG. 2 illustrates a relay session in which the IP forwarder/relay service 15 establishes a virtual connection between the source endpoint 5 and the destination endpoint 20 to relay data back and forth. As shown in FIG. 2, the IP forwarder/relay service 15 and the destination endpoint 20 have connectivity to a common communication medium 17. Connectivity to the destination endpoint 20 is through a firewall 18. To conduct a relay session, client software 23 must be installed on the destination endpoint 20 as well so

that both endpoints 5, 20 can establish a session to the service 15.

FIG. 3 illustrates components of the client software 8 installed on the source endpoint 5 to permit a forwarding or relay session to occur. Similar software components must be installed on the destination endpoint 20 for a relay session to occur. Internet applications 30, 32, 34, each of which has a user interface, can include buddy list applications such as AOL's AIM™ or Microsoft's MSN Messenger™.

Alternatively, the applications 30, 32, 34 can include Telnet, file transfer, multi-user gaming or other types of network applications. The applications operate in the application layer of the protocol stack.

A standard application transport interface 35, such as Sockets or Winsock2, operates below the applications. The transport interface 35, also called an application programming interface (API), acts as a bridge between the application and the Transport Control Protocol/Internet Protocol (TCP/IP) suite.

The client software 8, 23 includes additional elements in the session layer of the protocol stack below the transport interface 35. A name resolution layer 37 and data layer 39, which can be combined or implemented separately, examine and process an application's TCP/IP data and name resolution operations and can perform actions such as header addition/removal and modification of name resolution requests.



5

10

20

or using a service discovery protocol such as Service Location Protocol (SLP), Jini, or Universal Plug and Play (UpnP). If, for example, HTTP is used as the proxied protocol, request pipelining and multi-part return messages  
5 can be used to support two-way symmetric communications. Data in the S/FT layer 43 may be directed to (or from) the funneler 40 if support for multiple internet applications is required. Alternatively, a separate instance of the S/FT layer 43 can reside in each application's process space and  
10 data can be sent over per-application firewall traversal sessions.

Firewall traversal sessions are initiated by the endpoints 5, 20. As previously noted, a firewall traversal session 7 is established between the source endpoint 5 and  
15 the IP forwarder/relay service 15 in both forwarding and relay modes of operation. In the forwarding mode (FIG. 1), an actual TCP connection or User Datagram Protocol (UDP) association for transporting application data can be made between the IP forwarder/relay service 15 and the  
20 destination endpoint 20. The forwarding mode can enable client/server applications that otherwise would have difficulty traversing firewalls. Exemplary applications include client/server-based buddy lists, multi-user games, and IP telephony conferencing.

25 In the relay mode, a firewall traversal session also is established from the destination endpoint 20 to the service 15. Thus, the IP forwarder/relay service 15 acts as an

intermediary between two (or more) separate firewall traversal sessions. Virtual TCP connections or virtual UDP associations are set up between the source and destination endpoints 5, 20. In addition to client/server applications, the relay mode can also enable peer-to-peer applications that otherwise would have difficulty traversing firewalls such as peer-based buddy lists , multi-user games, and IP telephones.

Destination network addresses, as well as other information used to multiplex or demultiplex application data, are conveyed in headers contained within the transported session data. The IP forwarder/relay service 15 can add, remove and examine session headers and can establish mapping functions to facilitate the forwarding or relaying of data to the intended endpoint(s). In forwarding mode, when an application on the destination endpoint 20 requires that network addressing information be included in its payload, the IP address for the application running on the source endpoint 5 can be made to appear as if it is the IP address of the service 15.

In one implementation, the service 15 uses a Domain Name System (DNS) host naming convention to identify endpoints 5, 20. Other directory systems also can be supported by the service 15. The IP forwarder/relay service 25 is assigned a domain name, for example ``service.com.'' Users at the endpoints 5, 20 are assigned sub-domain names. In one implementation, the sub-domain names are based upon

information readily known by others such as a name. Thus, John Smith might register as ``jsmith.service.com.'' In some instances, a sub-domain such as 'jsmith.service.com' may not be sufficient to identify a unique endpoint 5, 20.

5 For example, a user may use the service 15 from a variety of locations. To avoid naming conflicts, zip codes and/or locations may be added to the sub-domain names. Thus, an endpoint associated with a user's workplace, ``work.jsmith.97211.service.com,' can be distinguished from a mobile  
10 endpoint ``mobile.jsmith.97211.service.com' that is associated with the same user.

The assigned sub-domain name can be used to configure the system software 8, 23 for a given endpoint 5, 20. A user at a source endpoint 5 attempting to relay data to a  
15 destination endpoint 20 through the IP forwarder/relay service 15 does not necessarily need to know beforehand the full sub-domain name of the destination endpoint. To illustrate, a destination endpoint may be a private home network with several computers. A fully qualified domain  
20 name (FQDN) for one computer could be

``denpc.home.jsmith.97211.service.com.'' If the user at the source endpoint 5 knows only ``service.com' or

``jsmith.97211.service.com,' the client system software 8 can provide a dialog box with a list of the constituents of  
25 the private home network to choose from. Furthermore, the dialog box approach can be extended to allow endpoints to be

distinguished by unique identifiers other than sub-domain names.

As indicated by FIG. 4, a user enters 200 at least the service domain name into the system to request use of the service 15. For example, the user would enter the domain name ``service.com.'' The name resolution layer 37 of the client system software 8 intercepts 210 the domain name information that was entered into the system. For requests that involve the service, the name resolution layer 37 returns 220 either a special non-routeable IP address or else an IP address from a local pool associated with the given service 15. The name resolution layer 37 records 230 a table entry associating the requested name with the returned IP address. That information then is shared 240 with the data layer 39. The particular application 30, 32, 34 initiates 250 a transport level communication, for example, a TCP connection or UDP message, using the returned IP address. The initiation request is intercepted 260 by the data layer 39. The data layer 39 then retrieves the previously-recorded table entry to obtain the complete information needed to determine 270 whether a firewall traversal session to the service 15 should be established and whether the session should use the forwarding or relay mode.

Depending upon the domain name entered originally, the data layer 39 may require more information in order to decide whether a forwarding or relay session is necessary.

If a fully qualified user domain name such as  
 ``jwblow.23114. service.com'' originally were supplied, then  
 the relay mode of operation would be used. On the other  
 hand, if only the domain name ``service.com'' were

5 originally entered, the data layer 39 would recognize the  
 service host name, but would need additional information to  
 determine whether the session should use the forwarding or  
 relay mode. Specifically, the user would supply either a  
 real destination IP address or physical host name for the  
 10 forwarding mode, or would select a fully qualified domain  
 name (FQDN) within the service for the relay mode. To  
 obtain the needed information, the data layer 39 can query  
 the user with a dialog box.

Once the user has supplied the requested information,  
 15 the data layer 39 issues 280 a name resolution request so  
 that a server within the service 15 can be assigned for the  
 firewall traversal session. The resolution request, which  
 includes a virtual host name associated with the client  
 endpoint 5, bypasses the name resolution layer 37 and is  
 20 issued directly to a domain name resolving server in the IP  
 forwarding/relay service 15. The service 15 returns 290 an  
 IP address that the physical server uses during the firewall  
 traversal session.

FIGS. 5 and 6 illustrate various techniques that the IP  
 25 forwarding/relay service 15 can employ to assign a physical  
 server to be used for the firewall traversal session. The

features are scalable and can be used to map virtual host names to a large number of geographically dispersed servers.

In one implementation, shown in FIG. 5, a DNS server 80 within the IP forwarder/relay service 15 uses hierarchical partitioning as the basis for selecting the proper physical server (e.g. 82, 84, 86 or 88) to establish a session. The DNS table 90 contains a set of regular expressions to compactly specify a static mapping relationship between the endpoint virtual host names and the physical servers 82 through 88. According to the table 90, servers 82 through 84 service requests directed to zip code 97211 and servers 86 through 88 service requests for zip code 99999. Within these two groups, the servers are selected based on the first letter of the user name.

FIG. 6 shows a dispatch/switching server model that can be used for dynamic mapping of endpoint virtual host names. The source endpoint 5 sends a virtual host name resolution request to a dispatch server 92 in the service 15. Based on information received from a load balancing system 94, the dispatch server 92 returns the IP address of a particular switching server 96, 98, 100, that will provide the IP forwarding/relay functionality for the client endpoint session. The load balancing system 94 communicates with the various switching servers 96, 98, 100 to track the loading of those servers dynamically. In some implementations, the load balancing system 94 can be incorporated into the dispatch server 92. After a switching server 96, 98 or 100

has been assigned, the client endpoint 5 sets up a session to the assigned switching server.

An internal dynamic directory can be used in the name resolution process to map an endpoint to a server. In that case, the load balancing system 94 can monitor the dynamic loading of each switching server and assign the least loaded switching server 96, 98, 100 to handle the session. A corresponding entry can be added to the internal directory to reflect the assignment. The entry contains the mapping from a specific endpoint, such as the endpoint 5, to the assigned switching server. It allows the service 15 to match client endpoints for relay mode and establish a virtual connection between them.

Once the IP address for the session server is obtained, the data layer 39 at the client endpoint 5 establishes a firewall traversal session for the application 30, 32 or 34. Once established, the application's IP flow can be tagged by the client software 8 with an indication of whether the service 15 should operate in forwarding or relay mode. Alternatively, the service 15 can determine whether forwarding mode or relay mode is to be used based on the destination endpoint's physical address or virtual host name supplied by the source endpoint 5.

As illustrated in FIG. 7, in the forwarding mode, a session server 60 establishes the required TCP connection or UDP association 62 and forwards the data to the IP address for the destination endpoint 20.



009020-1227900

In the relay mode, a session server 64 can use its own domain name system or an internal dynamic directory to identify 320 the physical server 66 for the destination endpoint 20. Assuming that the destination endpoint 20 is  
5 listening for TCP/IP requests, a TCP connection or UDP association is established 325 between the source and destination servers 64, 66, creating a virtual connection between the source 5 and destination endpoints 20. Table entries can be recorded 330 so that future sessions between  
10 the endpoints occurs over established connections within the service. In some situations, a single server may act as both the source and destination servers 64, 66.

An application that is listening for incoming requests for transport level communications connections (e.g., TCP  
15 connections or UDP messages) can be handled as follows. The data layer 39 at the destination endpoint 20 can use local policies and configurations to determine whether the applications 30, 32, 34 require remote listening at the service 15 and a corresponding firewall traversal session.  
20 The local policies may indicate that remote listening always is used for certain applications, while for other applications the user should be prompted for further input using, for example, a dialog box. Where remote listening is to be used, the data layer 39 in the destination endpoint 20  
25 establishes a firewall traversal session to the physical server assigned to the local user in the same manner as described above for the source endpoint 5. Information

about an individual listen request is conveyed over the firewall traversal session to the service 15. Such information can include the fully qualified domain name and application port number for the destination endpoint 20.

5 As described above, a user can enter the service domain name (e.g., ``service.com'') as the destination address to initiate use of the service 15. In other implementations, instead of entering the service domain name, the user can specify an actual IP address or host name. An automatic  
10 determination of whether forwarding mode is appropriate can be made based on the address. For example, network addresses outside an internal domain specified through configuration of the client system software 8, 23, or discovered from standard network configuration parameters  
15 such as the user's subnet, are likely to need forwarding. The software 8, 23 can also be configured to recognize specific addresses for which forwarding is required. Alternatively, forwarding mode can be used as a backup after a direct attempt at connection to an external address fails.

20 To increase efficiency, a DNS resolution request for a destination endpoint 20 should resolve successfully only if the destination endpoint is, in fact, listening on at least one port. Also, search directories contain entries for listening endpoints. Such features can increase the  
25 likelihood of obtaining a connection in relay mode to a destination endpoint and can reduce the overhead associated with setting up a firewall traversal session for which

connections will eventually fail because there is no corresponding listening endpoint.

In some implementations, each client endpoint on an internal network can include the software components

5 discussed in connection with FIG. 3. Alternatively, a local routing agent can be used. The local routing agent makes it unnecessary for each endpoint located in an internal network to be equipped with system software 8, 23. The local relay agent can act as a virtual router for all inbound  
10 communication. The IP forwarder/relay service 15 requires only the address of the local relay agent. The agent then handles the distribution and redirection of communication to particular machines in the internal network, as well as the sessions to the IP forwarder/relay service 15.

15 A hop component 50 (FIG. 3) also can be included in the client software 8, 23 to allow a direct connection to the destination endpoint 20 to be made under certain circumstances. In particular, as shown in FIG. 8, when only the source endpoint 5 is located behind a firewall 10 and  
20 both the source and destination endpoints include the client software 8, 23, a direct firewall traversal session can be established between the endpoints 5, 20 instead of using the relay mode of operation of the service 15. In such a situation, the service 15 initially can be used to determine  
25 whether the relay mode of operation should be used to provide the virtual connection or whether the hop layer 50 in the source endpoint 5 should be instructed to initiate a

direct session with the destination endpoint 20 over a communication medium 19. Alternatively, the hop layer 50 may first attempt a direct session with the destination endpoint 20 and upon failure to establish communications fallback to using the service 15 in the relay mode of operation.

Use of virtual host names for identifying parties registered with the service also can facilitate maintaining a connection to a destination endpoint when the source endpoint 5 roams between networks. For example, if the source endpoint 5 is a wireless, mobile device that can roam from one network to another, the service 15 can maintain the connection to the destination endpoint 20 even if the connection to the source endpoint temporarily is lost. In the event that the connection to the source endpoint 5 is lost temporarily, the destination endpoint 20 would not be made aware of that fact because its connection to the service 15 is maintained. To reestablish the session between the source endpoint 5 and the service 15, the client software 8 can retain information regarding the state of the session. When connectivity to the service 15 subsequently is reestablished, the information regarding the state of the lost session can be used to allow the session to continue from the point when the connection was lost.

Various features of the system can be implemented in hardware, software, or a combination of hardware and software. For example, some aspects of the system can be implemented in computer programs executing on programmable

computers. Each program can be implemented in a high level  
procedural or object-oriented programming language to  
communicate with a computer system. Furthermore, each such  
computer program can be stored on a storage medium, such as  
5 read-only-memory (ROM) readable by a general or special  
purpose programmable computer, for configuring and operating  
the computer when the storage medium is read by the computer  
to perform the functions described above.

Other implementations are within the scope of the  
10 claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A method of establishing communications comprising:  
establishing a virtual connection between a source  
endpoint located behind a first connectivity barrier and a  
5 destination endpoint located behind a second connectivity  
barrier.
2. The method of claim 1 wherein at least one of the  
connectivity barriers comprises a firewall.
- 10 3. The method of claim 1 wherein at least one of the  
connectivity barriers comprises a consumer gateway.  
.
4. The method of claim 1 wherein establishing a virtual  
15 connection includes:  
establishing a first session between the source  
endpoint and a service; and  
establishing a second session between the destination  
endpoint and the service.
- 20 5. The method of claim 4 including assigning one or more  
servers associated with the service to handle the sessions.
6. The method of claim 1 in which the virtual connection  
25 is established based on a virtual host name associated with  
the source endpoint.

7. The method of claim 6 wherein the virtual host name comprises part of a hierarchical naming system.

8. The method of claim 6 including providing a directory  
5 search application to allow a user to select the virtual host name.

9. The method of claim 6 wherein the source endpoint is capable of roaming between networks.

10

10. The method of claim 4 including dynamically assigning at least one server associated with the service to handle the sessions.

11. A method of establishing communications between source and destination endpoints comprising:

establishing a session between the source endpoint located behind a connectivity barrier and a service; and

establishing a transport level communications  
20 connection between the service and the destination endpoint.

12. The method of claim 11 wherein the barrier comprises a firewall.

13. The method of claim 11 wherein the barrier comprises a  
25 consumer gateway.

14. The method of claim 11 including assigning a server associated with the service to handle the session.

15. The method of claim 11 in which the session is  
5 established based on a virtual host name associated with the source endpoint.

16. The method of claim 15 wherein the virtual host name comprises part of hierarchical naming system.

10

17. The method of claim 15 including providing a directory search application to allow a user to select the virtual host name.

15 18. The method of claim 15 wherein the source endpoint is capable of roaming between networks.

19. The method of claim 14 wherein the server is dynamically assigned.

20

20. A system comprising:

a service arranged to respond to a request from a first endpoint to establish communications with a second endpoint, wherein, if the second endpoint is located behind a  
25 connectivity barrier, a session initiated by the second endpoint is established with the service, and if the second endpoint is not located behind a connectivity barrier, a



transport level communications connection is established  
with the second endpoint.

21. The system of claim 20 wherein the connectivity barrier  
5 comprises a firewall.

22. The system of claim 20 wherein the connectivity barrier  
comprises a consumer gateway.

10 23. The system of claim 20 wherein the service is arranged  
to assign a server to handle the session between the first  
endpoint and the service based on a virtual host name  
associated with the first endpoint.

15 24. The system of claim 23 wherein the virtual host name  
comprises part of hierarchical naming system.

25. The system of claim 20 wherein the service is arranged  
to assign a server to handle the session between the first  
20 endpoint and the service dynamically.

26. An article comprising a computer-readable medium  
including computer-executable instructions for causing a  
computer system, in response to a request from a first  
25 endpoint located behind a first connectivity barrier to  
establish connectivity to a second endpoint, to:

assign a server to handle a session between the first  
endpoint and a service; and

establish a session initiated by the second endpoint if  
the second endpoint is located behind a second connectivity  
5 barrier.

27. The article of claim 26 including instructions for  
causing the computer system to establish a transport level  
communications connection to the second endpoint if the  
10 second endpoint is not located behind a connectivity  
barrier.

28. The article of claim 26 including instructions for  
causing the computer system to instruct the first endpoint  
15 to establish a direct session with the second endpoint if  
the second endpoint is not located behind a connectivity  
barrier.

29. The article of claim 26 including instructions for  
20 causing the computer system to establish the session between  
the first endpoint and the service based on a virtual host  
name associated with the first endpoint.

30. The article of claim 29 wherein the virtual host name  
25 comprises part of hierarchical naming system.

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A communication system includes a first endpoint located behind a first connectivity barrier, such as a firewall or a consumer gateway, and a second endpoint. A service is arranged to respond to a request from the first endpoint to establish communications with the second endpoint by assigning a server to handle a session between the first endpoint and the service. A session initiated by the second endpoint is established with the service if the second endpoint is located behind a second connectivity barrier. If the second endpoint is not located behind a connectivity barrier, a transport level communications connection can be established with the second endpoint. In some cases, the service can instruct the first endpoint to establish a direct session with the second endpoint.

30021207.doc




FIG. 1




FIG. 2

005020-1227560




FIG. 3




FIG. 4

15




FIG. 5




FIG. 6






FIG. 7




FIG. 8